## Section 3.1 <br> Algorithms

Example:

## The (infamous) Halting Problem

We wish to establish the nonexistence of a universal debugging program.

Theorem: There does not exist a program which will always determine if an arbitrary program $P$ halts.

We say the Halting Problem is undecidable.

Sidenote: this is not the same as determining if a specific program or finite set of programs halts which is decidable.

There is always exists a program to determine if a specific program $P$ halts:

- Construct program P1 which always prints 'yes' and halts.
- Construct program P2 which always prints 'no' and halts.

One of the two programs, P 1 or P 2 , is the correct (deciding) program (we may not know which one!).

Hence this problem is decidable.

To simplify the argument: consider input-free programs only (which may call other procedures)

## Proof:

Suppose there is such a program called HALT which will determine if any input-free program P halts.

HALT(P) prints 'yes' and halts if P halts, otherwise,

HALT(P) prints 'no' and halts.
We now construct another procedure as follows:

> procedure ABSURD;
> if HALT(ABSURD) = 'yes' then while true do print 'ha'

Note that ABSURD is input-free.

- If ABSURD halts then we execute the loop which prints unending gales of laughter and thus the procedure does not halt.
- If ABSURD does not halt then we will exit the program and halt.

Hence,

## - ABSURD

- halts if it doesn't
and
- doesn't halt if it does
which is an obvious contradiction. (You are free to loose sleep over this).


## Hence such a program does not exist.

Q. E. D.

Note: This is not the same as asserting a program exists and we don't know how to write it or that it is very difficult to write such a program!

