

Deduction/Inferencing by rules

13

• Example: Prove $(p \vee q) \wedge \neg p \rightarrow q$

- | | | |
|----|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1. | $(p \vee q) \wedge \neg p$ | hypothesis |
| 2. | $p \vee q$ | \wedge elimination in 1 |
| 3. | $\neg p$ | \wedge elimination in 1 |
| 4. | $p \rightarrow q$ | vacuous proof from 3 |
| 5. | $q \rightarrow q$ | tautology |
| 6. | q | case analysis using 2, 4, 5 |

• Now we have a new rule of inference:

$$\frac{p \vee q \quad \neg p}{q}$$

Alternative-elimination

• Example: Prove $(p \wedge \neg p) \rightarrow q$
(starting from contradiction anything can be proved!)

- | | | |
|----|-------------------|---------------------------|
| 1. | $p \wedge \neg p$ | hypothesis |
| 2. | $\neg p$ | \wedge elimination in 1 |
| 3. | $p \rightarrow q$ | vacuous proof from 2 |
| 4. | p | \wedge elimination in 1 |
| 5. | q | modus ponens using 3, 4 |