# Probability Review/New Material

High Dim Probability & Linear Algebra for ML and Sig Proc

Namrata Vaswani

Iowa State University

### Reading material, Relevant Courses I

- The book "High Dimensional Probability for Data Science" by Roman Vershynin; and early parts
- 2 The tutorial article on "Non-asymptotic Random Matrix Theory" also by Vershynin
- Probability: https://www.ece.iastate.edu/~namrata/EE527\_Spring14/Probability\_recap\_3.pdf

Good courses to take at ISU: EE 523, STAT 542, 543, EE/Math 623X

- Linear Algebra (based on first few chapters of Horn and Johnson, Matrix Analysis): https://www.ece.iastate.edu/~namrata/EE527\_Spring14/linearAlgebraNotes.pdf
  - Good courses to take at ISU: MATH 510 (first half of the course); if too advanced, then first take MATH 407/507.
- 6 Review of Basics:
  - Probability:

https://www.ece.iastate.edu/~namrata/EE527\_Spring12/322\_recap.pdf http://cs229.stanford.edu/section/cs229-prob.pdf http://cs229.stanford.edu/section/more\_on\_gaussians.pdf

### Reading material, Relevant Courses II

Linear Algebra: Andrew Ng's review from CS229 course at Stanford: http://cs229.stanford.edu/section/cs229-linalg.pdf also http://cs229.stanford.edu/livenotes2020spring/linearalgebra-slides.pdf Reading I

Chapter 1 of book (Vershynin's book)

#### Notation I

#### Order etc

- ▶ Order notation:  $f(n) \in O(g(n))$  means that there exists an  $n_0 < \infty$  such that for all  $n > n_0$ ,  $f(n) \le Cg(n)$  for a numerical constant C
- ▶ Omega notation:  $f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$  means that there exists an  $n_0 < \infty$  such that for all  $n > n_0$ ,  $f(n) \ge Cg(n)$  for a numerical constant C
- ▶  $a \ll b$  means a/b is less than O(1)
- Re-use of letter C: C is used to denote different numerical constants in different uses

#### Linear algebra

- For a matrix A, A' or A<sup>T</sup> or A<sup>T</sup> denotes matrix transpose; other use of MATLAB notation too.
- ▶ Sphere in  $\Re^n$ :  $S^{n-1}$ , e.g., circle is a sphere in  $\Re^2$  and is denoted by  $S^1$
- ► Norms: ||.||: I2-norm, ||.||<sub>1</sub>: I1-norm, ||.||<sub>F</sub>: Frobenius norm
- ▶ Indicator function:  $\mathbb{1}_{statement} = 1$  if statement is true and = 0 otherwise.

#### Probability

- ▶ For a set A, A<sup>c</sup> denotes its complement set.
- ▶ Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF):  $F_X(x) := \Pr(X \le x)$
- ▶ MGF  $M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}]$  for a scalar X. For a vector,  $\underline{X}$ ,  $M_X(\underline{u}) = \mathbb{E}[e^{\underline{u}'\underline{X}}]$
- ▶ Characteristic function:  $C_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{itX}]$ : it is the FT of the distribution of X computed at frequency -t.

#### Notation II

- ▶  $Pr(A, B) = Pr(A \text{ and } B) = Pr(A \cap B)$ .
- ▶ Gaussian  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$
- ▶ Bernoulli with probability of a 1 p: Bern(p)
- ▶ Symmetric Bernoulli *SymBern*: X = -1 w.p. 1/2 and X = +1 w.p. 1/2
- ► w.h.p. :
- ▶ w.p. :

# Basics: Simple algebra bounds: move to the end I

- 1 https://www.lkozma.net/inequalities\_cheat\_sheet/ineq.pdf
- Simple algebra bounds
  - ► For any  $x \ge 0$ ,  $1 + x \le e^x$  used very often to convert  $\Pi_i(1 + \mu_i)$  to  $e^{\sum_i \mu_i}$  (appears when bounding MGF of sums of indep r.v.s)
  - For 0 < x < 1,  $\log(1+x) \ge x/(1+x/2)$
  - For all x > -1,  $\log(1+x) \le x$
  - For any  $x \ge 0$ ,  $e^x < x + e^{x^2}$  used in subGaussian properties' equivalence.
  - ?? For any  $x \ge 0$ ,  $\frac{1}{1-x} \le e^{2x}$
  - For any z > 0,  $\max(|z-1|, |z-1|^2) \le |z^2-1|$
  - For any z > 0,  $|z 1| \ge \delta$  implies  $|z^2 1| \ge \max(\delta, \delta^2)$ .
  - ► Stirling /factorial bounds
    - ★  $\Gamma(x) < x^x \Gamma(x) := ??$
    - $\star$   $p! > (p/e)^p$  , easy to see that  $p! < p^p$
    - $\star (\frac{n}{k})^k \le {n \choose k} \le \sum_{k'=0}^k {n \choose k} \le (\frac{en}{k})^k$
  - Taylor series
    - $\star$  exp $(x) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^p}{p!}$
- 3 Copy more from page 23, 30 of Vershynin book. TBD



# Basics: Probability concepts assumed I

### Probability concepts assumed:

Probability axioms, disjoint events, independent events, conditional probability define, DeMorgan's laws, counting arguments

Use: try to convert an exact probability computation into probability of union of disjoint events, or intersection of independent events, or some combination of these ideas.

For upper bounding  $Pr(\bigcup_i A_i)$ : use union bound

For lower bounding  $\Pr(\cup_i A_i)$ : use DeMorgan's + independence, and lower bounds on  $\Pr(A_i)$  or

use  $\Pr(A) \ge \Pr(A,B)$  followed by lower bound  $\Pr(B)$  and  $\Pr(A|B)$  (see use of this in the random vectors' theorem).

Many more ideas of course

Random variables: define PMF, joint PMF, PDF, joint PDF, CDF, joint CDF. Conditional CDF, conditional PDF.

Quick test of concepts: Given random variables (r.v.)  $X_1, X_2, \dots X_n$ .

- **1** Compute distribution of  $Z = |X_1 + 1|$
- 2 Compute distribution of  $Z = X_1 mod 5$  (remainder when  $X_1$  is divided by 5.
- 3 Compute the distribution of  $Z = X_1 + X_2$ . First
- **4** Compute the distribution of the smallest r.v.,  $Z = \min(X_1, X_2, ... X_n)$ .
- Sompute the distribution of the second smallest r.v. (2nd order statistic).

# Probability Review I

① Chain rule: extension of  $P(A_1 \cap A_2) = P(A_1)P(A_2|A_1)$ 

$$\Pr(A_1, A_2, \dots A_n) = \Pr(A_1) \Pr(A_2 | A_1) \dots \Pr(A_k | A_1, A_2, \dots A_k - 1) \dots \Pr(A_n | A_1, A_2, \dots A_{n-1})$$

② Total expectation theorem for events, Law of iterated expectations for r.v.s Consider events  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  that form a partition of the sample space. Partition means: all the events are disjoint and their union forms the entire sample space. Simplest example of a partition is n = 2,  $A_1 = A$ ,  $A_2 = A^c$ . We have

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{i} \mathbb{E}[X|A_{i}] \Pr(A_{i})$$

If we set  $X = \mathbb{1}_E$  for an event  $E_{,,}$  the above gives the total probability result.

$$\Pr(E) = \sum_{i} \Pr(E|A_i) \Pr(A_i)$$

For two r.v.s X, Y (scalar or vector r.vs),

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X,Y)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[g(X,Y)|X]]$$

(here  $\mathbb{E}[.]$  takes expectation w.r.t. all r.v.s - here  $X,Y;\mathbb{E}[.|X]$  takes expectation conditioned on X.

# Probability Review II

- Independence and Conditional independence of events, r.v.s:
  - **1** Two events independent: Pr(A, B) = Pr(A) Pr(B)
  - ② A set of n events is independent if for any subset  $S \subseteq [1, 2, ...n]$ ,

$$\Pr(\cap_{i\in S}A_i)=\prod_{i\in S}\Pr(A_i)$$

A set of n r.v.s, X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>, ...X<sub>n</sub> independent iff joint distribution is equal to product of marginals

$$F_{X_1,X_2,...X_n}(x_1,x_2,...x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n F_{X_i}(x_i)$$

- **(a)** Conditional independence given Z = z for all  $z \in C$ : above holds conditioned on Z = z for all  $z \in C$ .
- **6** i.i.d. : independent and  $F_{X_i}(x) = F_{X_1}(x)$ , so that

$$F_{X_1,X_2,...X_n}(x_1,x_2,...x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n F_{X_i}(x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n F_{X_1}(x_i)$$

4 D > 4 P > 4 B > 4 B > B 900

# Probability Review III

**6** X, Y (scalars or vectors) independent implies

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)h(Y)] = \mathbb{E}[g(X)]\mathbb{E}[h(Y)]$$

- Conditionally independent given event C: above holds given event C. Same for conditional indep given a r.v.
- **8** X indep of  $Y, Z \Rightarrow X$  indep Y; and X conditionally indep Y given Z.
- Cauchy-Schwarz inequality
  - For two vectors  $v_1, v_2$ ,

$$(v_1'v_2)^2 \le ||v_1||^2 ||v_2||^2$$

2 For two scalar r.v.s X, Y,

$$\mathbb{E}[XY]^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[X^2]\mathbb{E}[Y^2]$$

- 3 obvious extensions apply for random vectors and matrices.
- **1** Union bound: for a set of events  $A_i$ , suppose that  $Pr(A_i) \ge 1 p_i$ . Then

$$\Pr(A_1,A_2,\ldots,A_n) \equiv \Pr(\cap_i A_i) = 1 - \Pr(\cup_i A_i^c) \geq 1 - \sum_i P(A_i^c) \geq 1 - \sum_i p_i$$



# Probability Review IV

- Moment Generating Function (MGF)  $M_X(t) := \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}]$  for a scalar X.
- For a vector,  $\underline{X}$ ,  $M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{u}) = \mathbb{E}[e^{\underline{u}^T\underline{X}}]$ Characteristic function :  $C_X(t) := \mathbb{E}$
- **?** Characteristic function :  $C_X(t) := \mathbb{E}[e^{itX}]$ : it is the FT of the distribution of X computed at frequency -t.

#### Scalar Gaussian r.v.

First note that a scalar Gaussian r.v. X with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$  has the following pdf

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Its characteristic function can be computed by computing the Fourier transform at -t to get

$$C_X(t) = e^{j\mu t} e^{-\frac{\sigma^2 t^2}{2}}$$

# Gaussian random vector (Jointly Gaussian r.v.s)

Any of the following can be used as a definition of j G.

All vectors should ideally be replaced by X etc.

#### Gaussian r.v.s II

**1** The  $n \times 1$  random vector X is jointly Gaussian if and only if the scalar

$$u^T X$$

is Gaussian distributed for all  $n \times 1$  vectors u

② The random vector X is jointly Gaussian if and only if its characteristic function,  $C_X(u) := \mathbb{E}[e^{iu^T X}]$  can be written as

$$C_X(u) = e^{iu^T \mu} e^{-u^T \Sigma u/2}$$

where  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X]$  and  $\Sigma = cov(X)$ .

- ▶ Proof idea one side: Given X has above  $C_X(u)$ , show that  $V := u^T X$  is G for any vector u. To do this, show that  $C_V(t)$  has the G c.f. expression. To show this, use the fact that  $C_V(t) = C_X(tu)$  for scalar t.
- ▶ Proof idea other side: Given u'X is G for any u. Let V:=u'X. Its mean and variance are  $\mu=u^T\mu$  and  $\sigma^2=u^T\Sigma u$  and thus  $C_V(t)=e^{j\mu t}e^{-\frac{\sigma^2t^2}{2}}$ . Now,  $C_X(u)=C_V(1)=e^{j\mu}e^{-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}}$ . Substituting for  $\mu,\sigma^2$  gives the  $C_X(u)$  expression we want to get.

#### Gaussian r.v.s III

- The random vector X is j G if and only if it can be written as an affine function of i.i.d. standard Gaussian r.v's.
  - ▶ Proof uses  $C_X(u)$  expression definition.
  - ▶ Proof: suppose X = AZ + a where  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ ; get an expression for its c.f. by using the c.f. definition and the fact that Z is a vector of i.i.d. standard Gaussian scalar r.v.s and thus  $\mathbb{E}[e^{itZ_j}] = e^{t^2/2}$  for any t. Show that the c.f. of X satisfies the  $C_X(u)$  formula given in 2 with  $\mu_X = a$ ,  $\Sigma_X = AA^T$ .
  - Proof (other side): suppose X is j G with mean  $\mu_X$  and covariance  $\Sigma_X$ ; X can always be expressed as  $X = \Sigma^{1/2}Z + \mu$  where  $Z := \Sigma^{-1/2}(X \mu)$ ; show that Z is std. G (by getting an expression for its c.f.). (c.f. of a std G Z is  $C_Z(u) = e^{||u||^2/2}$ ).
- **1** The random vector X is j G if and only if it can be written as an affine function of jointly Gaussian r.v's.
  - ▶ Proof: Suppose X is an affine function of a j G r.v. Y, i.e. X = BY + b. Since Y is j G, by 3, it can be written as Y = AZ + a where  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$  (i.i.d. standard Gaussian). Thus, X = BAZ + (Ba + b), i.e. it is an affine function of Z, and thus, by 3, X is j G.
  - ▶ Proof (other side): X is j G. So by 3, it can be written as X = BZ + b. But  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$  i.e. Z is a j G r.v.

#### Gaussian r.v.s IV

lacktriangle The random vector X is jointly Gaussian if and only if its joint pdf can be written as

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^n \det(\Sigma)} e^{-(X-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (X-\mu)/2}$$
 (1)

Proof: follows by computing the characteristic function from the pdf and vice versa. Suppose X has above PDF. Then  $C_X(u) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(iu'X)] = \int_x \exp(i\sum_j u_j x_j) f_X(x) dx$ , here x is a vector. Change of variables: let  $z = \Sigma^{-1/2}(x-\mu)$  and substitute into the integral. Integral will decouple into a product with term in the product being c.f. of a scalar Gaussian. Use formula, to finally get the vector Gaussian c.f. expression. Thus X is j G. Suppose X is j G. Then it has the given c.f. By uniqueness of Fourier transform, its density is given by (1).

### Properties

- lacktriangle If  $X_1, X_2$  are j G, then the conditional distribution of  $X_1$  given  $X_2$  is also j G
- [2] If the elements of a j G r.v. X are pairwise uncorrelated (i.e. non-diagonal elements of their covariance matrix are zero), then they are also mutually independent.
- Any subset of X is also j G.



# Integral identity and its use, Gaussian tail bound I

Integral identity

For a scalar r.v. Z that is non-negative, i.e.,  $Z \ge 0$  w.p. 1,

$$\mathbb{E}[Z] = \int_{ au=0}^{\infty} \mathsf{Pr}(Z > au) d au$$

Proof: Use  $x = \int_{t=0}^{x} \mathbb{1}(t \le x) dt$  followed by moving expectation inside integral sign (allowed since indicator func is bounded).

② Use integral identity to convert w.h.p. bound to bound on expectation: Given a non-negative r.v. Z that satisfies  $\Pr(Z > u_0 + t) \le e^{-t^2}$  for all  $t \ge 0$  ( $Z \le 1.1u_0$  w.h.p.) for a  $u_0 \gg 2$  ( $u_0$  is more than order 1). This implies

$$\mathbb{E}[Z] \leq u_0 + 2 \leq 1.1u_0$$
 the second bound assumes  $u_0 \gg 2$ 

- ▶ To use this second bound of  $1.1u_0$ , scale Z so that  $u_0 \gg 2$ .
- ▶ Similarly, if we are told that  $\Pr(Z < u_0 t) \le e^{-t^2}$  for all  $t \ge 0$  ( $Z \ge 0.9u_0$  w.h.p.), assuming  $2 \ll u_0$ , we can show that

$$\mathbb{E}[Z] \geq u_0 - 2 \geq 0.9u_0$$



# Integral identity and its use, Gaussian tail bound II

▶ Proof idea: apply integral identity, split integral into 0 to  $u_0$  and then  $u_0$  to  $\infty$ . In the first one, bound the probability by 1, in the second one, use the assumption, to get  $\mathbb{E}[Z] \leq u_0 + \int_{t=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-t^2} dt \leq u_0 + \sqrt{2\pi}/2 < u_0 + 2$ . Proof idea for lower bound:

$$\mathbb{E}[Z] \ge \int_{\tau=0}^{u_0} \Pr(Z > \tau) d\tau = \int_{t=0}^{u_0} \Pr(Z > u_0 - t) dt \ge \int_{t=0}^{u_0} (1 - e^{-t^2}) dt$$

**3** Gaussian tail bounds:  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ :

$$(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{t^3}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2} \le \Pr(X \ge t) \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{t} e^{-t^2/2}$$

#### Proof idea:

- ▶ Upper bound: use  $\int_{x=t}^{\infty} e^{-x^2/2} dx \le \int_{x=t}^{\infty} (x/t) e^{-x^2/2} dx$  and then use change of variables to solve the integral.
- ► Lower bound: see page 12 of Vershynin book

# Markov inequality and applications I

For a non-negative r.v. Z,

$$\Pr(Z > s) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[Z]}{s}$$

Proof: easy application of integral identity

$$\mathbb{E}[Z] \ge \int_0^s \Pr(Z > \tau) d\tau \ge \Pr(Z > s) (\int_0^s d\tau) = \Pr(Z > s) s$$

#### Applications: basic ideas

- **1** Apply this to  $Z = |X \mu|$  with  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X]$ , to get Chebyshev's inequality.
- ② Apply this to  $Z = e^{tX}$  for any  $t \ge 0$ . notice  $e^{tX}$  is always non-negative.

$$\Pr(X > s) = \Pr(e^{tX} > e^{ts}) \le e^{-ts} \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = e^{-ts} M_X(t)$$

Since this bound holds for all  $t \ge 0$ , we can take a  $\min_{t \ge 0}$  of the RHS or we can substitute in any convenient value of t.

**3** To get a bound for Pr(X < -s), use  $Z = e^{-tX}$  for  $t \ge 0$ .



# Markov inequality and applications II

① Useful for sums of independent r.v.s: if  $S = \sum_i X_i$  with  $X_i$ 's independent, then  $M_X(t) = \prod_i M_{X_i}(t)$ . So then we get

$$\Pr(\sum_{i} X_{i} > \mathfrak{s}) \leq \min_{t \geq 0} \mathrm{e}^{-t\mathfrak{s}} M_{\sum_{i} X_{i}}(t) = \min_{t \geq 0} \mathrm{e}^{-t\mathfrak{s}} \prod_{i} \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{e}^{tX_{i}}]$$

- Use exact expression for MGF or a bound on MGFs (e.g. Hoeffding's lemma bounds the MGF of any bounded r.v.)
- **6** Followed by often using  $1 + x \le e^x$  to simplify things
- **②** Final step: either minimizer over  $t \ge 0$  by differentiating the expression or a pick a convenient value of t to substitute.
- **1** disregard this in first read: Final final step that is used sometimes: suppose get a bound g(s) but want to show  $g(s) \le f(s)$  for some simpler expression f(s): try to show that g(s) f(s) is a decreasing function for the desired range of s values with g(0) f(0) = 0 or something similar: this is used in Chernoff inequality for  $Bern(p_i)$  r.v.s. for small s setting.

# Old recap document I

Quick test of concepts: Given random variables (r.v.)  $X_1, X_2, \dots X_n$ .

- **1** Compute distribution of  $Z = |X_1 + 1|$
- ② Compute distribution of  $Z = X_1 mod 5$  (remainder when  $X_1$  is divided by 5.
- **3** Compute the distribution of  $Z = X_1 + X_2$ . First
- **4** Compute the distribution of the smallest r.v.,  $Z = \min(X_1, X_2, ... X_n)$ .
- 6 Compute the distribution of the second smallest r.v. (2nd order statistic).

#### Some Topics

① Chain Rule: extension of  $P(A_1 \cap A_2) = P(A_1)P(A_2|A_1)$ 

$$P(A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n) = P(A_1)P(A_2|A_1)P(A_3|A_1, A_2) \ldots P(A_n|A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{n-1})$$

② Total probability: if  $B_1, B_2, \dots B_n$  form a partition of the sample space, then

$$P(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(A|B_i)P(B_i)$$

Partition: The events are mutually disjoint and their union is equal to the sample space.

**3** Union bound: suppose  $P(A_i) \ge 1 - p_i$  for small probabilities  $p_i$ , then

$$P(\cap_i A_i) = 1 - P(\cup_i A_i^c) \geq 1 - \sum_i P(A_i^c) \geq 1 - \sum_i p_i$$

# Old recap document II

- Independence and Conditional Independence
  - events A, B are independent iff

$$P(A,B) = P(A)P(B)$$

▶ events  $A_1, A_2, ... A_n$  are mutually independent iff for any subset  $S \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ ,

$$P(\cap_{i\in S}A_i)=\prod_{i\in S}P(A_i)$$

- analogous definition for random variables: for mutually independent r.v.'s the joint pdf of any subset of r.v.'s is equal to the product of the marginal pdf's.
- events A, B are conditionally independent given an event C iff

$$P(A,B|C) = P(A|C)P(B|C)$$

- extend to a set of events as above
- extend to r.v.'s as above
- **5** Aside: Given X is independent of  $\{Y, Z\}$ . Then,
  - X is independent of Y; X is independent of Z



### Old recap document III

- X is conditionally independent of Y given Z
- $\blacktriangleright \ \mathbb{E}[XY|Z] = \mathbb{E}[X|Z]\mathbb{E}[Y|Z]$
- $\blacktriangleright \ \mathbb{E}[XY|Z] = \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y|Z]$
- 6 Law of Iterated Expectations:

$$\mathbb{E}_{X,Y}[g(X,Y)] = \mathbb{E}_Y[\mathbb{E}_{X|Y}[g(X,Y)|Y]]$$

Conditional Variance Identity:

$$\textit{Var}_{X,Y}[g(X,Y)] = \mathbb{E}_{Y}[\textit{Var}_{X|Y}[g(X,Y)|Y]] + \textit{Var}_{Y}[\mathbb{E}_{X|Y}[g(X,Y)|Y]]$$

- Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality:
  - **1** For vectors  $v_1, v_2, (v_1'v_2)^2 \le ||v_1||_2^2 ||v_2||_2^2$
  - 2 For vectors:

$$\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}'y_{i}\right)^{2} \leq \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\|x_{i}\|_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\|y_{i}\|_{2}^{2}\right)$$

6 For matrices:

$$\|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\mathcal{X}_i\mathcal{Y}_i'\|^2\leq \|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\mathcal{X}_i\mathcal{X}_i'\|_2\|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{Y}'\|_2$$

# Old recap document IV

- **4** For scalar r.v.'s  $X, Y: (\mathbb{E}[XY])^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[X^2]\mathbb{E}[Y^2]$
- $\bullet$  For random vectors X, Y,

$$(\mathbb{E}[X'Y])^2 \le \mathbb{E}[\|X\|_2^2]\mathbb{E}[\|Y\|_2^2]$$

- **o** Proof follows by using the fact that  $\mathbb{E}[(X \alpha Y)^2] \ge 0$ . Get a quadratic equation in  $\alpha$  and use the condition to ensure that this is non-negative
- **7** For random matrices  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ ,

$$\|\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{XY}']\|_2^2 \leq \lambda_{\mathsf{max}}(\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{XX}'])\lambda_{\mathsf{max}}(\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{YY}']) = \|\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{XX}']\|_2 \|\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{YY}']\|_2$$

Recall that for a positive semi-definite matrix M,  $||M||_2 = \lambda_{\max}(M)$ .

- **3** Proof: use the following definition of  $\|M\|_2$ :  $\|M\|_2 = \max_{x,y:\|x\|_2=1,\|y\|_2=1} |x'My|$ , and then apply C-S for random vectors.
- Moeffding's lemma: bounds the MGF of a zero mean and bounded r.v..
  - ▶ Suppose  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$  and  $P(X \in [a, b]) = 1$ , then

$$M_X(s) := \mathbb{E}[e^{sX}] \le e^{\frac{s^2(b-a)^2}{8}}$$
 if  $s > 0$ 

Proof: use Jensen's inequality followed by mean value theorem, see http://www.cs.berkeley.edu/~jduchi/projects/probability\_bounds.pdf

# Old recap document V

**10** Convergence in probability. A sequence of random variables,  $X_1, X_2, \ldots X_n$  converges to a constant a in probability means that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \Pr(|X_n-a|>\epsilon)=0$$

**1** Convergence in distribution. A sequence of random variables,  $X_1, X_2, \ldots X_n$  converges to random variable Z in distribution means that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} F_{X_n}(x) = F_Z(x)$$
, for almost all pointsx

- Convergence in probability implies convergence in distribution
- **3** Consistent Estimator. An estimator for  $\theta$  based on n random variables,  $\hat{\theta}_n(\underline{X})$ , is consistent if it converges to  $\theta$  in probability for large n.
- **Weak Law of Large Numbers (WLLN) for i.i.d. scalar random variables,**  $X_1, X_2, \ldots X_n$ , with finite mean  $\mu$ . Define

$$\bar{X}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

For any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \epsilon) = 0$$

Proof: use Chebyshev if  $\sigma^2$  is finite. Else use characteristic function